



WOLLEGA UNIVERSITY

SCHOOL OF GRADUATE STUDIES

**COLLEGE OF NATURAL AND COMPUTATIONAL
SCIENCE**

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS

THESIS ON

**FIXED POINT THEOREM FOR SET-VALUED
CONTRACTION MAPPING IN b -METRIC SPACE.**

BY:

MENGISTU TADESE

ADVISOR: DULA TOLERA (PhD)

OCTOBER, 2019

NEKEMTE, ETHIOPIA



WOLLEGA UNIVERSITY

SCHOOL OF GRADUATE STUDIES

**FIXED POINT THEOREM FOR SET-VALUED CONTRACTION
MAPPING IN b -METRIC SPACE.**

**THESIS SUBMITTED TO SCHOOL OF GRADUATE STUDIES,
WOLLEGA UNIVERSITY, COLLEGE OF NATURAL AND
COMPUTATIONAL SCIENCES, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
FOR THE PARTIAL FULFILLMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR
THE MASTERS OF DEGREE (MSC) IN MATHEMATICS**

BY: MENGISTU TADESE

ADVISOR: DULA TOLERA (PhD)

OCTOBER 2019

NEKEMTE, ETHIOPIA

STATEMENT OF THE AUTHOR

I declare and affirm that this Thesis entitled” **Fixed point theorem for set valued contraction mapping in b-metric space**” is my work and that all sources of materials used for this thesis have been appropriately acknowledged. This thesis is submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for M.Sc. degree in Mathematics at Wollega University. I declare that this thesis not submitted to any other institution anywhere for the award of any degree or diploma. The thesis deposited at the University Library to make available to borrowers under rules of the Wollega University Library.

Brief quotations from this thesis are allowable without special permission if accurate acknowledgment of the source is made. However, requests for permission for extended quotations from or reproduction in part of this manuscript may be granted by Wollega University, the school of graduate studies in all other instances, permission must be obtained from the author.

Name: Mengistu Tadese Signature: _____ Date of Submission_____

Place: Wollega University, Nekemte

DECLARATION

This is to certify that this thesis entitled “**Fixed point theorem for set valued contraction mapping in b-metric space** "accepted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the award of the Degree **of Master of science in Mathematics** by the school of Graduate Studies, Wollega University through the college of **Natural and Computational Science**, done by MengistuTadese is a genuine work carried out by him under my guidance. The matter embodied in this Thesis work has not been submitted earlier for the award of any degree or diploma.

The assistance and help received during the course of this investigation have been duly acknowledged. Therefore, I recommend that it can be accepted as fulfilling the Thesis requirements.

Major Advisor

Signature

Date

WOLLEGA UNIVERSITY
SCHOOL OF GRADUATE STUDIES
FINAL THESIS APPROVAL FORM

As members of the Board of Examining of the Final M. A. /M. Sc. Thesis open defense, we certify that we have read and evaluated the thesis prepared by **MengistuTadese** under the title “**Fixed point theorem for set valued contraction mapping in b-metric space**” and recommend that the project be accepted as fulfilling the thesis requirement for the **Degree of Master of science** in Mathematics (Real Analysis).

Chairperson	Signature	Date
-------------	-----------	------

Internal Examiner	Signature	Date
-------------------	-----------	------

External Examiner	Signature	Date
-------------------	-----------	------

Final Approval and Acceptance
Thesis Approved by

Department PGC	Signature	Date
-----------------------	------------------	-------------

Dean of college	Signature	Date
------------------------	------------------	-------------

Certification of the final Thesis

I hereby certify that all the correction and recommendation suggested by the board of examiners are incorporated into the final Thesis entitled “**Fixed point theorem for set valued contraction mapping in b-metric space**” by **MengistuTadese**.

Dean of SGS	Signature	Date
--------------------	------------------	-------------

Acknowledgment

First, I would like to express my deepest gratitude to God for the reason that he gives me long life, strength, to reach this precise time, and blessing me in all aspects of my life. Secondly, I would like express my heartfelt gratitude and thanks to my advisor **Dr. DulaTolera**, for helpful discussion, excellent guidance, caring, patience, constructive comments , providing the necessary materials, suggestions immediate responses and encouragements from the beginning up to the end of the study.

Finally, I would like to extend gratefulness thanks to my wife GelaneTolesa for her understanding and patience while I spend much time on my study, as well as for her unreserved support and encouragement all the way through and my family who support me to and giving advice to study my lesson properly.

Table of contents

Content	page
Acknowledgment	i
Abstract.....	iii
Abbreviation and Notation.....	iv
CHAPTER ONE.....	1
1 Introduction	1
1.1 Background	1
1.2 Statement of the problem	2
1.3 Objective of the study.	2
1.3.1 The general objective of this study is:	2
1.3.2 The specific objective of this study is:	2
1.4 Delimitation of the study.....	3
1.5. Significance of the study	3
CHAPTER TWO	4
2. REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE.....	4
2.1 INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIE.....	4
CHAPTER THREE	9
3. PROJECT DESIGN AND METHODOLOGY	9
3.1 Study Area and Period.....	9
3.2. Study Design	9
3.3 Sources of Information.....	9
3.4 Study Procedure	9
3.5 Limitation of the Project	9
CHAPTER FOUR.....	10
4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION.....	10
4.1 Main results.....	10
4.2 Corollaries.....	16
CHAPTER 5	19
5.1 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSSIONS	19
References.....	20

Abstract

In this thesis, we state fixed point theorems for set-valued mapping satisfies some contraction condition and prove the existence of fixed points for such mapping in b-metric space. We extend the results of Seong-Hoon[9] from metric space to b-metric spaces. We deduce some corollaries from our mainresult.

Keywords: b- Metric space, set-valued mapping, contraction.

Abbreviation and Notation

Abbreviation

Inf : Infrimum

Lim : Limit

Max : Maximum

Min : Minimum

Sup : Supreme

Notation

ϵ : *Element*

ε : *Epsilo*

\mathbb{N} : *Natural number*

$n(\varepsilon)$: *NDepends no epsilon*

\mathbb{R} : *The set of real number*

\subset : *Subsetof*

\leq : *Less than or equalto*

$<$: *Strictlly less than*

∞ : *Infinity*

\neq : *Not equal to*

CHAPTER ONE

Introduction

1.1 Background

In many branches of Science, Economics, Computer Science, Engineering and the development of non linear Analysis the fixed point theory is one of the important tools. We say that $f(x)$ is a set-valued map (or point to set map or multi-valued function or simply multifunction) from a set X to another set Y , denoted by $f(x): X \rightarrow Y$, if for every $x \in X$, $f(x)$ is subset of Y . Let T be a self map of a non-empty set X a point $x \in X$ such that $Tx=x$ is called a fixed point on the map T . The theorems concerning the properties and existence of fixed point are known as fixed point theorem. Fixed point theorem is one of the most dynamic research subjects in non leaner Science. The feasibility of application of it to the various disciplines increases its beauty more and more. In 1922, the famous Banach contraction principle which state that if (X, d) is complete metric space and $T: X \rightarrow X$ such that $D(Tx, Ty) \leq k d(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in X$ where $k \in [0,1)$, then T has unique fixed point was introduced by Banach [14]. So Banach fixed point theorem in 1922 [14] says that every contractive mapping on a complete metric space has a unique fixed point. The Banach contraction principle is one of the pivotal results in the metric fixed theory. Metric fixed point has been fruit full and promising area of research for many Mathematics during the last several decades. The study of fixed point in difference spaces is an interesting area and it has became a use full tool because the study finds an application in different branch of mathematics as well as in other fields like Physical Science, Life Sciences and Economics. The field of fixed point theory is expanding is domain; there by leading to the emergency of plethora of techniques and ideas. As it was well known, the Banach fixed point theorem is very useful, simple and classical tool in modern Analysis. The concept of b – metricspace was introduced by Bakhtain [4] in 1989, which used it to prove a generalization of the Banach contraction principle in space endowed with such kind of metrics. Since then, this notion has been used by many authors to obtain various fixed point theorems. This direction was the source of several fixed point and coincidence point theorems in various ambient spaces. The concept of compatibility was used by many authors to prove existence theorems in fixed point theory. On other hand, Czerwik [12] extends the concept of b -metric spaces which is the generalization of metric spaces and generalized the Banach contraction principle in the context of complete b - metric space.

On the other side hand, in 1993, Czerwik, [12] develop the notion of $b - metric$ space and established some fixed point theorems in $b - metric$ spaces. Using these concepts, several researchers presented generalization of the renowned Banach fixed point theorem in a $b - metricspace$.

In 1998 S.Czerwik, [11], introduce a nonlinear set-valued contraction mapping in b-metric space. The concepts of b-metric space were the generalization of metric spaces and generalized the Banach contractions principles in the contexts of complete b- metric space.

Subsequently,several results appeared in this direction like,[3,6,14,15],In 2009,Boriceanu,M.Molnar,[1],study a fixed point theory for multi valued generalized contraction on set-valued with b-metric space.

In other hand Seong-Hoon ,[13], introduces new set-valued contraction mapping. Based on these concept we extends a fixed point theorem for set- valued contraction mapping in b-metric space and study the existence of fixed point for such mapping in b-metric space. We deduce some corollaries and provide some examples which support the main result.

1.2 Statement of the problem

In this thesis, we are going to establish the fixed point theorems for set-valued contraction mapping in b-metric spaces. Owing to this, the present study attempt to answer the following questions

- How we define fixed point?
- What are the properties of fixed point theorems in set-valued contractive mapping?
- How we prove the fixed point theorem for set-valued contraction mapping in b-metric space?

1.3 Objective of the study.

1.3.1 The general objective of this study is:

- To study fixed point theorem for set-valued contraction mapping in b-metric space and prove the theorem in our results

1.3.2 The specific objective of this study is:

- To understand the techniques of finding fixed point

- To know the properties of fixed point theorems for set -valued contractive mapping under b-metric space.
- To study how to generalize and extend fixed point for set valued contractive mapping.

1.4 Delimitation of the study

This study delimited to prove the fixed point theorems on set-valued mapping in b-metric space which generalize and extends fixed points of many research papers in literature.

1.5. Significance of the study

Fixed point theorem becomes, in the last decades not only a field with a huge development ,but also strong tool for solving various problems arising in different fields of pure and applied mathematics. The concept of fixed point plays a key role in Analysis. Thus the study of fixed point theory has been researched extensively.

Theorem 2.2 [5] Let (X, d) be a complete metric space. If a set-valued mapping

$T: X \rightarrow CB(X)$ satisfies the following condition: there exist two constant $\theta \in (0,1)$ and $L \geq 0$ such that

$$H(Tx, Ty) \leq \theta d(x, y) + L D(x, Ty) \dots\dots\dots 2.2$$

For all $x, y \in X$ then T has a fixed point in X . More Ever for any $x_0 \in X$, there exists an orbit $\{x_n\}$ Of T at the initial point x_0 that converges to the fixed point x_* of T for which the following estimate holds:

There exist a constant $k \in (0, 1)$ such that

(i) For $n=0, 1, 2, \dots$

$$d(x_n, x_*) \leq \frac{k^n}{1-k} d(x_0, x_1) \dots\dots\dots 2.3$$

(ii) For $n=1, 2, 3, \dots$

$$d(x_n, x_*) \leq \frac{k}{1-k} d(x_{n-1}, x_n) \dots\dots\dots 2.4$$

The authors [2] obtained the following result:

Theorem 2.3 [2] Let (X, d) be a complete metric space. If a set-valued mapping

$T: X \rightarrow CB(X)$ satisfies the following condition:

$$H(Tx, Ty) \leq \frac{D(x, Ty) + D(y, Tx)}{\sigma(x, Tx) + D(y, Ty) + 1} d(x, y) \dots\dots\dots 2.5$$

For all $x, y \in X$ then T has a fixed point in X . Now using the result of [2, 5, 8, 15] we introduce the new set valued contraction mapping in b - metric space and establish a new fixed point theorem for such mapping.

Theorem 2.4 [13] Let (X, d) be a metric space and $T: X \rightarrow CB(X)$ be a set-valued contraction mapping .suppose that X is T -orbitally complete if T satisfies the following condition.

$$H(Tx, Ty) \leq \frac{D(x, Ty) + D(y, Tx)}{\sigma(x, Tx) + D(y, Ty) + 1} M(x, y) + L D(y, Tx) \dots\dots\dots 2.6$$

For all $x, y \in X, L \geq 0$, then T has a fixed point in X . More ever for any $x_0 \in X$, there exist an orbit $\{x_n\}$ of T at the initial point x_0 that converges to a fixed point x_* of T , for which (2.3) and (2.4) hold

Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and $T: X \rightarrow CB(X)$ be a set-valued mapping and let $x_0 \in X$. Then X is called T -orbitally complete if any Cauchy subsequence $\{x_{n(k)}\}$ of $\{x_n\}$ converges to same fixed point in X . where $\{x_n\}$ is a Picard orbit of T at initial point $x_0 \in X$.

Note that if $T: X \rightarrow X$ is a single valued mapping, then X is T -orbitally complete if any Cauchy subsequence $\{x_{n(k)}\}$ of the sequence $\{x_n\}$ defined by

$$x_{n+1} = Tx_n, n=0, 1, 2, 3, \dots, x_0 \in X \text{ converges to some point in } X.$$

Also, note that completeness implies T -orbitally completeness, for set (or single)-valued mapping T .

Definition 2.5 [9] Let (X, d) be a metric space. A mapping $T: X \rightarrow CB(X)$ is called a contraction on X if there is a positive real number $\alpha < 1$ such that for all $x, y \in X$:

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq \alpha d(x, y) \text{ Where } (\alpha < 1)$$

Before starting our results, we present some definition and propositions in a b -metric space.

Definition 2.6 [12] Let X be a nonempty set and $s \geq 1$ a given real number.

A function $d: X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ is called a b -metric if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (1) $d(x, y) = 0$ if $x = y$,
- (2) $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$ for all $x, y \in X$,
- (3) $d(x, y) \leq s[d(x, z) + d(z, y)]$ for all $x, y, z \in X$

A pair (X, d) is called a b -metric space with (constant s).

It should be noted that the class of b -metric space is effectively larger than that of metric spaces. Indeed, a b -metric is metric if $s = 1$.

Example 2.7.1 Let (X, d) be a metric space and $m(x, y) = (d(x, y))^p$, where $p > 1$ is a real number is a b -metric space with $s = 2^{p-1}$.

Example 2.7.2. Let $X = \{1, 2, 3\}$ and the mapping $T: X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ defined by

$$d(1,1) = d(2,2) = d(3,3) = 0$$

$$d(1,2) = d(2,1) = d(2,3) = d(3,2) = 1 \text{ and}$$

$$d(1,3) = d(3,1) = m$$

Where m is given real number such that $m \geq 2$, it is easy to see that

$$d(x,y) \leq \frac{m}{2} [d(x,z)+d(z,y)]$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$, therefore (X, d) is b -metric space with coefficient $s = \frac{m}{2}$ we obtain that the ordinary triangular inequalities does not hold if $m > 2$ and then (X, d) is not metric space.

Definition 2.8 [1] Let (X, d) be a b -metric space and $\{x_n\}$ be sequence of X such that

(1). $\{x_n\}$ is convergent if there exist an x in X such that for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist an $n(\varepsilon) \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $n \geq n(\varepsilon), d(x_n, x) < \varepsilon$.

(2) $\{x_n\}$ is Cauchy sequence if for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist an $n(\varepsilon) \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $m, n \geq n(\varepsilon), d(x_m, x_n) < \varepsilon$

(3) (X, d) is called complete if and only if every Cauchy sequence in X is convergent.

Definition 2.9[1] Let (X, d) be a b -metric space. Then a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X is called:

(a) Convergent if and only if there exists $x \in X$ such that $d(x, y) \rightarrow 0$, as $n \rightarrow +\infty$. In this case, we write $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$

(b) Cauchy if and only if $d(x_n, x_m) \rightarrow 0$ as $n, m \rightarrow +\infty$

Proposition 2.10. in a b -metric space (X, d) the following assertions hold:

(i) A convergent sequence has a unique limit,

(ii) Each convergent sequence is Cauchy,

(iii) In general, a b -metric is not continuous.

Definition 2.11[1]. The b -metric space (X, d) is complete if every Cauchy sequence in X converges.

In general a b -metric function d for $s > 1$ is not jointly continuous in all of its two variables.

A b -metric is not continuous in general, so we need the following simple lemma about the b -convergent sequence.

Also, we present the following simple lemma needed in the proof of our main result.

Lemma 2.12 Let (X, d) be a b -metric space .suppose that $A, B \in CB(X)$ and $c > 0$. if $H(A, B) < c$ and $a \in A$, then there exist $b \in B$ such that $d(a, b) < c$.

Lemma 2.13[12] Let (X, d) be a b -metric space with $s \geq 1$. Suppose that $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ are b -convergent to x and y , respectively. Then, we have

$$\frac{1}{s^2} d(x, y) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, y_n) \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, y_n) \leq s^2 d(x, y)$$

In particular, if $x=y$, we have then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, y_n) = 0$

Moreover for each $z \in X$ we have

$$\frac{1}{s} d(x, z) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, z) \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, z) \leq s d(x, z).$$

Lemma 2.14 let (X, d) be a b – metric space. If there exist two sequence $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, y_n) = 0$, whenever $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in X such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = t$

For some $t \in X$ then, that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n = t$

Proof: By a triangle inequality in a b – metric space, we have

$$d(y_n, t) \leq s(d(y_n, x_n) + d(x_n, t))$$

Now, by taking the upper limit when $n \rightarrow \infty$ in the above inequality we get

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(y_n, t) \leq s(\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(y_n, x_n) + \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, t)) = 0$$

Hence, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n = t$

Lemma 2.15. Let (X, d, s) be a b -metric space. For any $A, B \in CB(X)$ and any $x, y \in X$, one has the following:

- (i) $d(x, B) \leq d(x, b)$, for any $b \in B$;
- (ii) $\delta(A, B) \leq H(A, B)$;
- (iii) $d(x, B) \leq H(A, B)$, for any $x \in A$;
- (iv) $H(A, A) = 0$;
- (v) $H(A, B) = H(B, A)$;
- (vi) $H(A, C) \leq s(H(A, B) + H(B, C))$;
- (vii) $D(x, A) \leq s(d(x, y) + D(y, A))$.

CHAPTER THREE

PROJECT DESIGN AND METHODOLOGY

3.1 Study Area and Period

The study was conducted at Wollega University Nekemte campus under the department of Mathematics from November 2018 G.C. to October, 2019 G.C. Consequently the study focuses on common fixed point theorems on multi-valued mapping.

3.2. Study Design

This study would employ documentary review design on fixed point theorems for set-valued mapping in b-metric space.

3.3 Sources of Information

The relevant sources of information were obtained mainly from internet based sources and some library books and published articles. Authenticity of the internet sources were, thoroughly checked. Moreover, mostly pdf format books and articles from trustworthy journals were included.

3.4 Mathematical Procedure

Important materials and data for the study would be collected by means of documentary review. Hence in order to achieve the stated objectives, the study will follow the following procedures:-

- Defining the Problem.
- Analyzing the information obtained about it.
- Reviewing literature and extended the set-valued mapping from metric to b-metric.
- Proving the fixed point theorems for set -valued contractive mapping under b-metric space.

3.5 Limitation of the thesis

There were various limitations to conduct the study. Lack of the necessary reference materials was a problem. Even if an attempt was made to bridge the gap by referring to internet sources, in ability to access the original works mentioned these sources were major problems. Lack of internet for some time during the study period has also had a negative impact on the study.

CHAPTER FOUR
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

4.1 Main results

Theorem 4.1 Let (X, d) be a complete b-metric space with coefficient $s \geq 1$ and $T: X \rightarrow CB(X)$ be a set-valued contraction mapping. Suppose that X is T-orbitally complete if T satisfies the following condition.

$$H(Tx, Ty) \leq \frac{D(x, Ty) + D(y, Tx)}{s^2[\sigma(x, Tx) + D(y, Ty) + 1]} M(x, y) + L \min\{d(x, y), D(y, Tx)\} \dots\dots\dots 4.1$$

For all $x, y \in X$, $L \geq 0$ and $M(x, y) = \max\{d(x, y), D(x, Tx), D(y, Ty), \frac{1}{2s}[D(x, Ty) + d(y, Tx)]\}$. then T has a fixed point in X . Moreover for any $x_0 \in X$, there exist an orbit $\{x_n\}$ of T at the initial point x_0 that converges to a fixed point x_* of T , for which (2.3) and (2.4) hold.

Proof: let $x_0 \in X$ and let $x_1 \in Tx_0$

If $x_0 = x_1$ then, $x_0 \in Tx_0$, implies x_0 is the fixed point of T then the proof is complete.

Assume that $x_0 \neq x_1$

From 4.1 $D(x_1, Tx_1) \leq H(Tx_0, Tx_1)$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \frac{D(x_0, Tx_1) + D(x_1, Tx_0)}{s^2[\sigma(x_0, Tx_0) + D(x_1, Tx_1) + 1]} M(x_0, x_1) + L \min\{d(x_0, x_1), D(x_1, Tx_0)\} \\ &\leq \frac{D(x_0, Tx_1) + D(x_1, Tx_0)}{s^2[\sigma(x_0, Tx_0) + D(x_1, Tx_1) + 1]} M(x_0, x_1) + L \min\{d(x_0, x_1), D(x_1, Tx_0)\} \\ &= \frac{D(x_0, Tx_1) + d(x_1, x_1)}{s^2[\sigma(x_0, Tx_0) + D(x_1, Tx_1) + 1]} M(x_0, x_1) + L \min\{d(x_0, x_1), d(x_1, x_1)\} \\ &\leq \frac{s[D(x_0, x_1) + D(x_1, Tx_1)]}{s^2\sigma(x_0, Tx_0) + D(x_1, Tx_1) + 1} M(x_0, x_1) \\ &\leq \frac{[D(x_0, x_1) + D(x_1, Tx_1)]}{s[\sigma(x_0, Tx_0) + D(x_1, Tx_1) + 1]} M(x_0, x_1) \\ &\leq \frac{D(x_0, x_1) + D(x_1, Tx_1)}{\sigma(x_0, Tx_0) + D(x_1, Tx_1) + 1} M(x_0, x_1) \\ &= \beta_0 M(x_0, x_1), \text{ where } \beta_0 = \frac{d(x_0, x_1) + D(x_1, Tx_1)}{\sigma(x_0, Tx_0) + D(x_1, Tx_1) + 1} \dots\dots\dots 4.2 \end{aligned}$$

We deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} M(x_0, x_1) &= \max\{d(x_0, x_1), D(x_0, Tx_0), D(x_1, Tx_1), \frac{1}{2s}[D(x_0, Tx_1) + D(x_1, Tx_0)]\} \\ &\leq \max\{d(x_0, x_1), d(x_0, x_1), D(x_1, Tx_1), \frac{1}{2s}[D(x_0, Tx_1) + d(x_1, x_1)]\} \\ &= \max\{d(x_0, x_1), D(x_1, Tx_1), \frac{1}{2s}[D(x_0, Tx_1)]\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \max \left\{ d(x_0, x_1), D(x_1, Tx_1), \frac{1}{2s} [s[d(x_0, x_1) + D(x_1, Tx_1)]] \right\} \\ &= \max \left\{ d(x_0, x_1), D(x_1, Tx_1), \frac{1}{2} [d(x_0, x_1) + D(x_1, Tx_1)] \right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$= \max\{d(x_0, x_1), D(x_1, Tx_1)\} \dots \dots \dots 4.3$$

From (4.2) and (4.3) we obtain

$$D(x_1, Tx_1) \leq H(Tx_0, Tx_1) \leq \beta_0 \max\{d(x_0, x_1), D(x_1, Tx_1)\} \dots \dots \dots (1 *)$$

from equation (1 *) we have

$$\text{suppose } \max\{d(x_0, x_1), D(x_1, Tx_1)\} = D(x_1, Tx_1)$$

$$D(x_1, Tx_1) \leq H(Tx_0, Tx_1) \leq \beta_0 D(x_1, Tx_1) < D(x_1, Tx_1) \text{ because } \beta_0 < 1$$

which is contradiction

$$\text{hence } d(x_0, x_1) > D(x_1, Tx_1)$$

i.e.

$$D(x_1, Tx_1) \leq H(Tx_0, Tx_1) \leq \beta_0 d(x_0, x_1)$$

Let $r \in (\beta_0, 1)$ be fixed

$$\text{Then } H(Tx_0, Tx_1) < rd(x_0, x_1)$$

By Lemma 2.12 there exists

$$x_2 \in Tx_1 \text{ Such that}$$

$$d(x_2, x_1) < rd(x_0, x_1) \dots \dots \dots 4.4$$

If $x_1 = x_2$, then T has a fixed point and the proof is complete.

Assume that $x_2 \neq x_1$

$$\text{Let } \alpha_0 = \frac{d(x_0, x_1) + d(x_1, x_2)}{d(x_0, x_1) + d(x_1, x_2) + 1}$$

Then $\beta_0 < \alpha_0$, let k be a fixed such that

$$\text{Max}\{\alpha_0, r\} < k < \frac{1}{s}$$

Then from(4.4)

$$d(x_2, x_1) < kd(x_0, x_1) \dots \dots \dots 4.5$$

Again from 4.1 we have

$$D(x_2, Tx_2) \leq H(Tx_1, Tx_2)$$

$$\leq \frac{D(x_1, Tx_2) + D(x_2, Tx_1)}{s^2[\sigma(x_1, Tx_1) + D(x_2, Tx_2) + 1]} M(x_2, x_1) + L \min\{d(x_2, x_1), D(x_2, Tx_1)\}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \frac{D(x_1, Tx_2) + D(x_2, x_2)}{s^2[\sigma(x_1, Tx_1) + D(x_2, Tx_2) + 1]} M(x_2, x_1) + L \min\{d(x_2, x_1), d(x_2, x_2)\} \\
&= \frac{D(x_1, Tx_2)}{s^2[\sigma(x_1, Tx_1) + D(x_2, Tx_2) + 1]} M(x_2, x_1) \\
&\leq \frac{s[d(x_1, x_2) + D(x_2, Tx_2)]}{s^2[\sigma(x_1, Tx_1) + D(x_2, Tx_2) + 1]} M(x_2, x_1) \\
&\leq \frac{d(x_1, x_2) + D(x_2, Tx_2)}{s[\sigma(x_1, Tx_1) + D(x_2, Tx_2) + 1]} M(x_2, x_1) \\
&\leq \frac{d(x_1, x_2) + D(x_2, Tx_2)}{\sigma(x_1, Tx_1) + D(x_2, Tx_2) + 1} M(x_2, x_1) \\
&= \beta_1 M(x_1, x_2), \text{ where } \beta_1 = \frac{d(x_1, x_2) + D(x_2, Tx_2)}{\sigma(x_1, Tx_1) + D(x_2, Tx_2) + 1} \dots\dots\dots 4.6
\end{aligned}$$

We deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
M(x_1, x_2) &= \max\{d(x_1, x_2), D(x_1, Tx_1), D(x_2, Tx_2), \frac{1}{2s}[D(x_1, Tx_2) + D(x_2, Tx_1)]\} \\
&\leq \max\{d(x_1, x_2), d(x_1, x_2), D(x_2, Tx_2), \frac{1}{2s}[D(x_1, Tx_2) + 0]\} \\
&= \max\{d(x_1, x_2), D(x_2, Tx_2), \frac{1}{2s}[D(x_1, Tx_2)]\} \\
&\leq \max\{d(x_1, x_2), D(x_2, Tx_2), \frac{1}{2}[d(x_1, x_2), D(x_2, Tx_2)]\} \\
&= \max\{d(x_1, x_2), D(x_2, Tx_2)\} \dots\dots\dots 4.7
\end{aligned}$$

From (4.6) and (4.7)

$$D(x_2, Tx_2) \leq H(Tx_1, Tx_2) \leq \beta_1 \max\{d(x_1, x_2), D(x_2, Tx_2)\} \dots\dots\dots (2 *)$$

From equation (2 *) we have

$$\text{Suppose } \max\{d(x_1, x_2), D(x_2, Tx_2)\} = D(x_2, Tx_2)$$

$$D(x_2, Tx_2) \leq H(Tx_1, Tx_2) \leq \beta_1 D(x_2, Tx_2) < D(x_2, Tx_2) \text{ because } \beta_1 < 1$$

This is contradiction hence $d(x_1, x_2) > d(x_2, Tx_2)$

$$H(Tx_1, Tx_2) \leq \beta_1 d(x_1, x_2) \dots\dots\dots 4.8$$

We deduce that $\beta_1 \leq \alpha_0$ in fact we obtain

$$\beta_1 - \alpha_0 = \frac{D(x_2, Tx_2) - d(x_0, x_1)}{[\sigma(x_1, Tx_1) + D(x_2, Tx_2) + 1][d(x_0, x_1) + d(x_1, x_2) + 1]} < 1$$

Because $D(x_2, Tx_2) \leq d(x_1, x_2) < d(x_0, x_1)$

Thus $H(Tx_1, Tx_2) \leq \alpha_0 d(x_1, x_2) < k d(x_1, x_2)$

By Lemma 2.12 with $x_2 \in Tx_1$ we choose $x_3 \in Tx_2$ such that

$$d(x_2, x_3) < k d(x_1, x_2)$$

If $x_2 = x_3$ then $x_2 \in Tx_2$ then the proof so complete.

Assume that $x_2 \neq x_3$ from 4.5

We have $d(x_2, x_3) < k^2 d(x_0, x_1)$4.9

$$\text{Let } \alpha_1 = \frac{d(x_1, x_2) + d(x_2, x_3)}{d(x_1, x_2) + d(x_2, x_3) + 1},$$

Then it is easy that $\alpha_1 \leq \alpha_0$

$$\text{Let } \beta_2 = \frac{d(x_2, x_3) + D(x_3, Tx_3)}{\delta(x_2, Tx_2) + D(x_2, Tx_3) + 1}$$

Then we deduce that $\beta_2 < \alpha_1$

From 4.1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} D(x_3, Tx_3) &\leq H(Tx_2, Tx_3) \\ &\leq \frac{D(x_2, Tx_3) + D(x_3, Tx_2)}{s^2[\sigma(x_2, Tx_2) + D(x_3, Tx_3) + 1]} M(x_3, x_2) + L \min\{d(x_3, x_2), D(x_3, Tx_2)\} \\ &= \frac{D(x_2, Tx_3)}{s^2[\sigma(x_2, Tx_2) + D(x_3, Tx_3) + 1]} M(x_2, x_3) + L \min\{d(x_2, x_3), d(x_3, x_3)\} \\ &\leq \frac{D(x_2, Tx_3)}{\sigma(x_2, Tx_3) + D(x_3, Tx_3) + 1} M(x_2, x_3) \\ &= \beta_2 M(x_2, x_3), \text{ where } \beta_2 = \frac{D(x_2, Tx_3)}{\sigma(x_2, Tx_3) + D(x_3, Tx_3) + 1} \dots\dots\dots 4.10 \end{aligned}$$

We deduce tha

$$\begin{aligned} M(x_2, x_3) &= \max\left\{d(x_2, x_3), D(x_2, Tx_2), D(x_3, Tx_3), \frac{1}{2s}[D(x_2, Tx_3) + D(x_3, Tx_2)]\right\}. \\ &\leq \max\left\{d(x_2, x_3), d(x_2, x_3), D(x_3, Tx_3), \frac{1}{2s}[D(x_2, Tx_3) + d(x_3, x_3)]\right\} \\ &= \max\left\{d(x_2, x_3), D(x_3, Tx_3), \frac{1}{2s}[D(x_2, Tx_3)]\right\} \\ &\leq \max\left\{d(x_2, x_3), D(x_3, Tx_3), \frac{1}{2}[d(x_2, x_3) + D(x_3, Tx_3)]\right\} \\ &= \max\{d(x_2, x_3), D(x_3, Tx_3)\} \dots\dots\dots 4.11 \end{aligned}$$

From (4.10) and (4.11)

$$D(x_3, Tx_3) \leq H(Tx_2, Tx_3) \leq \beta_2 \max\{d(x_2, x_3), D(x_3, Tx_3)\} \dots\dots\dots (3 *)$$

From equation (3 *), Suppose that

$$\text{Max}\{d(x_2, x_3), D(x_3, Tx_3)\} = D(x_3, Tx_3)$$

$$D(x_3, Tx_3) \leq H(Tx_2, Tx_3) \leq \beta_2 D(x_3, Tx_3) < D(x_3, Tx_3) \text{ because } \beta_2 < 1$$

This is contradiction hence $d(x_2, x_3) > D(x_3, Tx_3)$

$$\text{Implies } H(Tx_2, Tx_3) \leq \beta_2 d(x_2, x_3) \dots\dots\dots 4.12$$

Thus we have

$$H(Tx_2, Tx_3) \leq \beta_2 d(x_2, x_3) \leq \alpha_1 d(x_2, x_3) \leq \alpha_0 d(x_2, x_3) < kd(x_2, x_3)$$

By applying Lemma (2.12), $x_3 \in Tx_2$ if, then we can choose $x_4 \in Tx_3$ such that

$$d(x_3, x_4) < kd(x_2, x_3)$$

Assume that $x_3 \neq x_4$

From 4.9 we have

$$d(x_3, x_4) < k^3 d(x_0, x_1)$$

Continuing this process, we obtain a sequence $\{x_n\} \subset X$ such that for all $n=1, 2, 3, \dots$

$$x_n \in Tx_{n-1}, x_{n-1} \neq x_n \text{ and}$$

$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq kd(x_{n-1}, x_n) \leq k^2 d(x_{n-2}, x_{n-3}) \leq k^3 d(x_{n-3}, x_{n-2}) \leq \dots \quad 4.13$$

and hence

$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq k^n d(x_0, x_1) \dots\dots\dots 4.14$$

Note that $\{x_n\}$ is an orbit of T at the initial point x_0 .

for $m > n$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_n, x_m) &\leq s[d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + d(x_{n+1}, x_m)] \\ &\leq s[d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + s[d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) + d(x_{n+2}, x_m)]] \\ &\leq (sk^n + s^2k^{n+1} + s^3k^{n+2} + s^4k^{n+3} + \dots + s^mk^{m-1}) d(x_0, x_1) \\ &\leq k^n s(1 + sk + s^2k^2 + s^3k^3 + s^4k^4 + \dots) d(x_0, x_1) \\ &\leq \frac{k^n s}{1-sk} d(x_0, x_1) \end{aligned}$$

As $m, n \rightarrow \infty$, then $\lim_{m,n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, x_m) = 0$

Thus $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X.

By T orbital of completeness of X, there exist $x_* \in X$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x_*$$

From 4.1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} D(x_{n+1}, Tx_*) &\leq H(Tx_n, Tx_*) \\ &\leq \frac{D(x_n, Tx_*) + D(x_*, Tx_n)}{s^2 \sigma(x_n, Tx_n) + D(x_*, Tx_*) + 1} M(x_n, x_*) + L \text{Min}\{d(x_n, x_*), D(x_*, Tx_n)\} \\ &\leq \frac{D(x_n, Tx_*) + D(x_*, Tx_n)}{s^2 [\sigma(x_n, Tx_n) + D(x_*, Tx_*) + 1]} M(x_n, x_*) + L \text{Min}\{d(x_n, x_*), D(x_*, Tx_n)\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \frac{D(x_n, Tx_*) + d(x_*, x_{n+1})}{s^2[D(x_*, Tx_*) + 1]} M(x_n, x_*) + L \text{Min}\{d(x_n, x_*), d(x_*, x_{n+1})\} \\
&\leq \frac{D(x_n, Tx_*) + d(x_*, x_{n+1})}{s^2[D(x_*, Tx_*) + 1]} M(x_n, x_*) + L \text{Min}\{d(x_n, x_*), d(x_*, x_{n+1})\} \\
&\leq \frac{s[d(x_n, x_*) + D(x_*, Tx_*)] + d(x_*, x_{n+1})}{s^2[D(x_*, Tx_*) + 1]} M(x_n, x_*) + L \text{Min}\{d(x_n, x_*), d(x_*, x_{n+1})\}
\end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$D(x_*, Tx_*) \leq s[d(x_*x_{n+1}) + D(x_{n+1}, Tx_*)]$$

$$\frac{1}{s}D(x_*, Tx_*) \leq [d(x_*x_{n+1}) + D(x_{n+1}, Tx_*)]$$

$$\leq d(x_*, x_{n+1}) + \frac{s[d(x_n, x_*) + D(x_*, Tx_*)] + d(x_*, x_{n+1})}{s^2[D(x_*, Tx_*) + 1]} M(x_n, x_*) + L \text{Min}\{d(x_n, x_*), d(x_*, x_{n+1})\} \dots 4.16$$

We deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
M(x_n, x_*) &= \text{Max}\left\{d(x_n, x_*), D(x_n, Tx_n), D(x_*, Tx_*), \frac{1}{2s}[D(x_*, Tx_n) + D(x_n, Tx_*)]\right\}. \\
&= \text{Max}\left\{d(x_n, x_*), d(x_n, x_{n+1}), D(x_*, Tx_*), \frac{1}{2s}[s[d(x_n, x_*) + D(x_*, Tx_*)] + D(x_*, Tx_n)]\right\}. \\
&= \text{Max}\left\{d(x_n, x_*), d(x_n, x_{n+1}), D(x_*, Tx_*), \frac{1}{2s}[s[d(x_{xn}, x_*) + D(x_*, Tx_*)] + d(x_*x_{n+1})]\right\}
\end{aligned}$$

Taking the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(x_n, x_*) &= \text{Max}\left\{0, 0, D(x_*, Tx_*), \frac{D(x_*, Tx_*)}{2}\right\} \\
\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(x_n, x_*) &= \text{Max}\left\{D(x_*, Tx_*), \frac{D(x_*, Tx_*)}{2}\right\} \\
&= \text{Max}\left\{D(x_*, Tx_*), \frac{D(x_*, Tx_*)}{2}\right\} = D(x_*, Tx_*) \\
\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(x_n, x_*) &= D(x_*, Tx_*)
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(x_n, x_*) = D(x_*, Tx_*) \dots \dots \dots 4.17$$

Then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{s}D(x_*, Tx_*) &\leq d(x_*, x_{n+1}) + \frac{s[d(x_n, x_*) + D(x_*, Tx_*)] + d(x_*, x_{n+1})}{s^2[D(x_*, Tx_*) + 1]} D(x_*, Tx_*) \\
&\quad + L \text{Min}\{d(x_n, x_*), d(x_*, x_{n+1})\} \\
\frac{1}{s}D(x_*, Tx_*) &\leq 0 + \frac{s(D(x_*, Tx_*))}{s^2[D(x_*, Tx_*) + 1]} D(x_*, Tx_*) \\
\frac{1}{s}D(x_*, Tx_*) &\leq \frac{(D(x_*, Tx_*))}{s[D(x_*, Tx_*) + 1]} D(x_*, Tx_*) = \frac{(D(x_*, Tx_*))}{s[D(x_*, Tx_*) + 1]} D(x_*, Tx_*)
\end{aligned}$$

$$D(x_*, Tx_*) \leq \frac{D(x_*, Tx_*)}{D(x_*, Tx_*)+1} D(x_*, Tx_*) < D(x_*, Tx_*)$$

Which is a contradiction if $D(x_*, Tx_*) \neq 0$

$$\text{Therefore } D(x_*, Tx_*) = 0$$

This implies, $x_* \in Tx_*$, and so T has a fixed point

We now show that (2.3) and (2.4) are satisfied.

From (4.15) we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_n, x_*) &\leq s[d(x_n, x_m) + d(x_m, x_*)] \\ &\leq \frac{sk^n}{1-ks} d(x_0, x_1) + d(x_m, x_*) \end{aligned}$$

Where $m > n$ letting $m \rightarrow \infty$ in the above inequality, we have (2.3)

From (4.13) we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_n, x_{n+p}) &\leq s[d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+p})] \\ &\leq s[d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + s[d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) + d(x_{n+2}, x_{n+p})]] \\ &\leq (sk + s^2k^2 + s^3k^3 + s^4k^4 + \dots + s^pk^p) d(x_{n-1}, x_n) \\ &\leq \frac{ks(1 - s^pk^p)}{1 - ks} d(x_{n-1}, x_n) \end{aligned}$$

Letting $p \rightarrow \infty$ in the above inequality, we have (2.4)

4.2 Corollaries

COROLLARY 4.2.1: Let (X, d) be a complete b-metric space with coefficient $s \geq 1$ and

T: $X \rightarrow CB(X)$ be a set-valued mapping .suppose that X is T-orbitally complete if T satisfies the following condition. $H(Tx, Ty) \leq \frac{d(x, Ty) + d(y, Tx)}{s^2[\sigma(x, Tx) + d(y, Ty) + 1]} N(x, y) + L \text{Min}\{d(x, y), d(y, Tx)\}$

For all $x, y \in X$, $L \geq 0$ and

$N(x, y) = \text{Max}\left\{d(x, y), \frac{1}{2s}[d(x, Tx) + d(y, Ty)], \frac{1}{2s}[d(x, Ty) + d(y, Tx)]\right\}$. then T has a fixed point in X.

COROLLARY 4.2.2: Let (X, d) be a complete b-metric space with coefficient $s \geq 1$ and

T: $X \rightarrow CB(X)$ be a set-valued mapping .suppose that X is T-orbitally complete if T satisfies the following condition. $H(Tx, Ty) \leq \frac{D(x, Ty) + D(y, Tx)}{s^2[\sigma(x, Tx) + d(y, Ty) + 1]} d(x, y) + L \text{Min}\{d(x, y), D(y, Tx)\}$

For all $x, y \in X$, $L \geq 0$ then T has a fixed point in X.

COROLLARY 4.2.3: Let (X, d) be a complete b-metric space with coefficient $s \geq 1$ and

T: $X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping .suppose that X is T-orbitally complete.

If T satisfies the following condition:

$$H(Tx, Ty) \leq \frac{D(x,Ty)+D(y,Tx)}{s^2[\sigma(x,Tx)+d(y,Ty)+1]} \text{Max}\left\{d(x,y), D(x, Tx), D(y, Ty), \frac{1}{2s} [D(x, Tx) + D(y, Ty)]\right\} + L \min\{d(x, y), D(y, Tx)\}$$

For all $x, y \in X$, $L \geq 0$ then

(a) T has a fixed point in X

(b) $d(x_*, y_*) \geq \frac{1-L}{2}$, when ever x_* and y_* are two distinct fixed point of T;

(c) For each $x_0 \in X$, the Picard iteration $\{x_n\}$ given by $x_{n+1} = Tx_n$, $n=0, 1, 2, \dots$ converge to the fixed point x_* of T and the following estimate holds:

There exist a constant $k \in (0, 1)$ such that

(i) for $n=0, 1, 2, \dots$,

$$d(x_n, x_*) \leq \frac{sk^n}{1-sk} d(x_0, x_1)$$

(ii) for $n=1, 2, 3, \dots$,

$$d(x_n, x_*) \leq \frac{sk}{1-sk} d(x_{n-1}, x_n)$$

Proof: By taking single valued mapping in Theorem 4.1, we obtain (a) and (c)

To proof (b),

Let x_* and y_* are two distinct fixed point of T

We have

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_*, y_*) &= D(Tx, Ty) \\ &\leq \frac{D(x_*, Ty_*) + D(y_*, Tx_*)}{s^2 D(x_*, Tx_*) + d(y_*, Ty_*) + 1} \text{Max}\left\{d(x_*, y_*), D(x_*, Tx_*), D(y_*, Ty_*), \frac{1}{2s} [D(x_*, Ty_*) + D(y_*, Tx_*)]\right\} \\ &\quad + L \text{Min}\{d(x_*, y_*), D(y_*, Tx_*)\} \\ &\leq \frac{D(x_*, Ty_*) + D(y_*, Tx_*)}{D(x_*, Tx_*) + D(y_*, Ty_*) + 1} \text{Max}\left\{d(x_*, y_*), D(x_*, Tx_*), D(y_*, Ty_*), \frac{1}{2s} [D(x_*, Ty_*) + D(y_*, Tx_*)]\right\} + \\ &\quad L \text{Min}\{d(x_*, y_*), D(y_*, Tx_*)\} \\ &\leq \frac{d(x_*, y_*) + d(x_*, y_*)}{D(x_*, Tx_*) + D(y_*, Ty_*) + 1} \text{Max}\left\{d(x_*, y_*), D(x_*, Tx_*), D(y_*, Ty_*), \frac{1}{2s} [D(x_*, Ty_*) + d(y_*, y_*)]\right\} + \\ &\quad L \text{Min}\{d(x_*, y_*), D(y_*, Tx_*)\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \frac{d(x_*, y_*) + d(x_*, y_*)}{d(x_*, x_*) + d(y_*, y_*) + 1} \text{Max} \left\{ d(x_*, y_*), d(x_*, x_*), d(y_*, y_*), \frac{1}{2} [d(x_*, y_*) + d(y_*, y_*)] \right\} + \\
&L \text{Min} \{ d(x_*, y_*), d(y_*, x_*) \} \\
&= d(x_*, y_*) + d(x_*, y_*) \text{Max} \left\{ d(x_*, y_*), 0, 0, \frac{1}{2} [d(x_*, y_*) + 0] \right\} + L \text{Min} \{ d(x_*, y_*), d(y_*, x_*) \} \\
&= 2 d(x_*, y_*) d(x_*, y_*) + L d(x_*, y_*) \\
&d(x_*, y_*) \leq d(x_*, y_*) (2 d(x_*, y_*) + L) \\
&\text{Hence } d(x_*, y_*) \geq \frac{1-L}{2} \blacksquare
\end{aligned}$$

CHAPTER 5

5.1 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

In this Thesis, we study the contraction mapping, set valued contraction mapping, set valued contraction mapping in b-metric space and prove the existence of fixed point theorem for set valued contraction mapping in b-metric space. The result on this thesis generalized and extends several fixed point results in the literature.

References

1. Boriceanu, M.Molnar liv, (3) (2009), *A Fixed point theory for multi valued generalized contraction on set-valued with b-metric space*, studia, univ.Babes, Math, 1-14
2. F, Khojasteh, M.Abbas, S, Costache, (2014), *two new types of fixed point theorems in complete metric spaces*, *Abstract and Applied analysis* 1-5 [http://dx.doi.org/ 10-1155/2014/3258](http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2014/3258)
3. H. Piri and P. Kumam, 90, 2016, *fixed point theorems for generalized F-Suzuki-contraction in complete b-metric spaces*, *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, doi: 10, 1186/13663-016-0577-5
4. I.A. Bakhatin, (1989), *the contractive mapping principle in almost metric space*, *Func.Anal*, 30, 26-37.
5. M.Beride.V.Beride, 326 (2007), *on a general class of multi-valued weakly Picard mapping*, *journal of mathematical analysis and applications*, 772782<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/Jmaa.03>
6. M.Boriceanu, M.Bota, A.petrusel, 8(2010), *Multi-valued fractals in b-metric spaces*, *cent.Eur.J.Math.* 367-377.1, 1.3
7. P.N.Dutta, B, S.Choudhury, appl. (2008), *a generalization of contractive principle in metric space, fixed point theory*, 8 pages.1
- 8.P.Z.Daffer, H.Kanek, 192(1995), *fixed points of generalized contractive multi valued mapping*, 655-666<http://dx.doi.org/10.1006/Jmaa.1194>.
9. S.Banach, Sur3(1922), *les operation dans les ensembles abstraitsetleures applications aux equations integrals*, *Fundam.Math.* 133-181.1
- 10.S.B Nadler Jr., 30(1969), *multi-valued contraction mapping*, *pacific journal of mathematics* no.2.475-488 <http://dx.doi.org/10.2140/pjm.30.475>
11. S.Czerwik, 46(1998), *nonlinear set-valued contraction mapping in b-metric spaces*, *AttiSemin.Mat.Fis.Univ.Modena*, 263-276.
12. S.Czerwik,1(1993), *Contraction mapping in b-metric space*, *Acta.Math.Info.Ostraviensis*, 511.
13. Seong-Hoon.Choo,9(2015),*fixed point theorem for set valued contraction in metric space*, *journal of mathematical analysis* [http://dx.doi.org/ 10-12988/ajma.no 45,2221-2233](http://dx.doi.org/10-12988/ajma.no45,2221-2233).

14. S. Phiangungnoen and P. Kumam,, (2015), *fuzzy fixed point theorem for Multi-valued fuzzy contraction in b-metric spaces*, J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl., 8, 5563.
15. S. Phiangungnoen and P. Kumam, (2018), on stability of fixed point inclusion for multi valued type contraction mappings in dislocate b-metric space with application, Math ApplSci, 114, <https://doi.org/10.1002/mma.4871>.
16. T.Kamaran, M.Samreen, and Q.UL, Ain, 19, (2017) a generalization of *b-metric space and some fixed point theorems*, mathematics 5, Doi: 10.3390 maths 5020019.